Characteristics
of Recent
Science and
Engineering
Graduates: 1993

Detailed Statistical Tables



Characteristics of Recent Science and Engineering Graduates: 1993

Detailed Statistical Tables

John Tsapogas, Project Officer

Division of Science Resources Studies Directorate for Social, Behaviorial and Economic Sciences



Suggested Citation

John Tsapogas and Margaret Cahalan (*Characteristics of Recent Science and Engineering Graduates:1993*) NSF 96-309, Detailed Statistical Tables. National Science Foundation (Arlington, VA, 1996).

Availability of Publications

Single copies are available free of charge from the Division of Science Resources Studies, National Science Foundation, Arlington, VA 22230. SRS data are also available through the World Wide Web (http://www.nsf.gov/sbe/srs/stats.htm). If you are a user of electronic mail and have access to Internet, you may order publications electronically. Send requests to pubs@nsf.gov. In your request include the NSF publication number and title, your name and a complete mailing address. Please allow 3 weeks for delivery.

Telephonic Device for the Deaf (703) 306-0090

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

John Tsapogas of the Division of Science Resources Studies (SRS) of the National Science Foundation had overall responsibility for conducting the survey and producing this report. Margaret Cahalan of Westat, Inc. (Westat) was the study director and Cindy Gray of Westat was the assistant study director.

Linda P. Hardy of SRS and Michael Brick of Westat provided valuable statistical advice that greatly enhanced the accuracy of the estimates presented in this report. Comments, reviews, and consultations were provided by Carlos Kruytbosch, Director of the Science and Engineering Personnel Program, SRS, and Alan R. Tupek, Deputy Division Director, SRS Division. This report was edited by Anne M. Houghton, SRS Publications Manager and Julia H. Harriston, Assistant Publications Manager.

The National Science Foundation (NSF) expresses its appreciation to the recent graduates who contributed their time by responding to this survey. NSF also extends its sincere appreciation to college administrators and other college officials who contributed their time and effort to this survey; their willingness to participate in the survey greatly enhanced the quality of the report.

CONTENTS

	Page
Acknowledgments	iii
General Notes	
Section A. Technical Notes	
Overview	
Sample Design	1
Graduate Sample	
Graduate Eligibility	4
Data Collection and Response	
Weight Calculations	4
Data Editing	6
Imputation of Missing Data	6
Accuracy of Estimates	6
Generalized Variance Functions	12
Estimated Totals	12
Estimated Percentages	13
Nonsampling Errors	13
Comparisons of Data with Previous Years Results	14
Comparisons with U.S. Department of Education Data	15
Other Explanatory Information	15
Exhibit 1. List A: Education Codes	17
Exhibit 2. Major Code Categories for Tabulations	20
Exhibit 3. List B: Job Codes	21
Exhibit 4. NSF Occupational Code Categories for Tabulations	24
Appendix A. Eligible and Ineligible Majors: 1993	27
Section B. Detailed Statistical Tables	33
Section C. Survey Instrument	165

GENERAL NOTES

These tables present data on the characteristics of men and women who received a bachelor's or master's degree in a science or engineering field from U.S. academic institutions during the 1990/91 (1991) and 1991/92 (1992) academic years. The data were collected in 1993 and reflect the status of individuals as of April of that year. In addition to the demographic characteristics of recent college graduates with science and engineering degrees, the data may be used to understand the employment experiences of recent graduates such as the extent to which recent graduates entered the labor force, whether they were able to find employment, and the attributes of that employment. Results of this survey are presented

separately for bachelor's and master's degree recipients, and also separately for graduates of the two graduating class years.

This report contains three sections. The technical notes in section A contain information on survey methodology, coverage, concepts, definitions, and sampling errors. Detailed tabulations from the survey are presented in section B. Although data were collected using both Computer-Assisted Telephone Interviews (CATI) and mail questionnaires, we have only included a copy of the written questionnaire in section C.